CMSC 330: Organization of Programming Languages

Type Inference and Unification

Type Checking vs Type Inference

Type checking: use declared types to check types are correct

let apply
$$(f:('a->'b)) (x:'a):'b = f x$$

Type inference:

let apply
$$f x = f x$$

 Infer the most general types that could have been declared, and type checks the code without the type information

The Type Inference Algorithm

Input: A program without types

 Output: A program with type for every expression, which is annotated with its most general type

Why do we want to infer types?

- Reduces syntactic overhead of expressive types
 - // C++ Declare a vector of vectors of integers std::vector<std::vector<int>> matrix;
- Guaranteed to produce most general type

- Widely regarded as important language innovation
- Illustrative example of a flow-insensitive static analysis algorithm

History

- Original type inference algorithm
 - Invented by Haskell Curry and Robert Feys for the simply typed lambda calculus in 1958
- ▶ In 1969, Hindley
 - extended the algorithm to a richer language and proved it always produced the most general type
- ▶ In 1978, Milner
 - independently developed equivalent algorithm, called algorithm W, during his work designing ML
- In 1982, Damas proved the algorithm was complete.
 - Currently used in many languages: ML, Ada, Haskell, C# 3.0, F#, Visual Basic .Net 9.0. Have been plans for Fortress, Perl 6, C++0x,...

Example

```
fun x \rightarrow 2 + x
-: int \rightarrow int
```

- What is the type of the expression?
 - + has type: int \rightarrow int \rightarrow int
 - 2 has type: int
 - Since we are applying + to x we need x : int
 - Therefore, fun x -> 2 + x has type int → int

Example

```
fun f \rightarrow f 3
-: (int \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a
```

- What is the type of the expression?
 - 3 has type: int
 - Since we are applying f to 3 we need f: int → a and the result is
 of type a
 - Therefore, **fun f** \rightarrow **f** 3 has type (int \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a

Example

```
fun f \rightarrow f (f 3)
```

What is the type of the expression?

Example

```
fun f \rightarrow f (f "hi")
```

What is the type of the expression?

Example

fun
$$f \rightarrow f$$
 (f 3, f 4)

What is the type of the expression?

```
let square = \operatorname{fun} z \to z * z in

\operatorname{fun} f \to \operatorname{fun} x \to \operatorname{fun} y \to

\operatorname{if} (f x y) \text{ then } (f (\operatorname{square} x) y)

\operatorname{else} (f x (f x y))
```

```
let square = fun z → z * z in
  fun f → fun x → fun y →
  if (f x y) then (f (square x) y)
  else (f x (f x y))

* : int → (int → int)
  z : int
```

```
let square = fun z → z * z in
  fun f → fun x → fun y →
  if (f x y) then (f (square x) y)
  else (f x (f x y))

* : int → (int → int)
  z : int
```

square : int \rightarrow int

```
let square = fun z \rightarrow z * z in

fun f \rightarrow fun x \rightarrow fun y \rightarrow

if (f x y) then (f (square x) y)

else (f x (f x y))
```

```
z : int \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow int
```

```
let square = fun z \rightarrow z * z in

fun f \rightarrow fun x \rightarrow fun y \rightarrow

if (f x y) then (f (square x) y)

else (f x (f x y))
```

```
z : int square : int \rightarrow int
```

x: int
y : bool

```
f : ('a \rightarrow 'b \rightarrow bool), x: 'a, y: 'b
```

a: int

b: bool

```
let square = fun z \rightarrow z * z in
      fun f \rightarrow fun x \rightarrow fun y \rightarrow
      if (f \times y) then (f (square \times) y)
      else (f x (f x y))
                 z : int
     square : int \rightarrow int
f : ('a \rightarrow 'b \rightarrow bool), x: 'a, y: 'b
         a: int b: bool
```

Type: (int \rightarrow bool \rightarrow bool) \rightarrow int \rightarrow bool \rightarrow bool

Unification

- Unification is an algorithmic process of solving equations between symbolic expressions
- Unifies two terms
- Used for pattern matching and type inference
- Simple examples
 - int * x and y * (bool * bool) are unifiable

```
y = intx = (bool * bool)
```

int * int and int * bool are not unifiable

Type Inference Algorithm

- Visit the AST and generate constraints:
 - From environment: literals (2), built-in operators (+), known functions (tail)
 - From form of parse tree: e.g., application and abstraction nodes
- Solve constraints using unification
- Determine types of top-level declarations

Step 1: Parse Program

Parse program text to construct parse tree

```
fun x \rightarrow 2 + x
Fun:
x: g = fresh guess ()
(q \rightarrow infer (x+2) (extend env x:q))
(x+2):
unify (infer x env) TInt;
unify (infer 2 env) TInt;
TInt
```

Inferring Polymorphic Types

Example: fun f → f 2 Fun: f: t = fresh guess () $(t \rightarrow infer (f 2) (extend env f:t))$ (f 2): let t1 = infer f env in (* f : t) let t2 = infer 2 env (* 2:int *) let t3 = fresh guess () in unify t1 (t2 \rightarrow t3)); t3 (* int -> 'a)

Using Polymorphic Functions

```
(fun f-> f 2) (fun d-> d +d)
let e1 = Fun ("f", App(ID "f", Int 2));;
let e2 = Fun("x", Binop(Add, ID "x", ID "x"));;
let e3 = App(e11, e12);
e1: ((int->'a)->'a)
e2: (int->int)
e3: int
```

Most General Type

Type inference produces the most general type

```
let rec map f lst =
  match lst with
    [] -> []
    | hd :: tl -> f hd :: (map f tl)
val map : ('a -> 'b) -> 'a list -> 'b list = <fun>
```

Functions may have many less general types

```
val map : (t_1 -> int, [t_1]) -> [int]
val map : (bool -> t_2, [bool]) -> [t_2]
val map : (char -> int, [cChar]) -> [int]
```

 Less general types are all instances of most general type, also called the *principal type*

Complexity of Type Inference Algorithm

- When Hindley/Milner type inference algorithm was developed, its complexity was unknown
- In 1989, Kanellakis, Mairson, and Mitchell proved that the problem was exponential-time complete
- Usually linear in practice though...
 - Running time is exponential in the depth of polymorphic declarations

Type Inference: Key Points

- Type inference computes the types of expressions
 - Does not require type declarations for variables
 - Finds the most general type by solving constraints
 - Leads to polymorphism
- Sometimes better error detection than type checking
 - Type may indicate a programming error even if no type error
- Some costs
 - More difficult to identify program line that causes error
 - Natural implementation requires uniform representation sizes
- Idea can be applied to other program properties
 - Discover properties of program using same kind of analysis

Example: Swap Two Values

OCaml

```
let swap (x, y) =
    let temp = !x in
        (x := !y; y := temp)
val swap : 'a ref * 'a ref -> unit = <fun>
        C++

template <typename T>
void swap(T& x, T& y) {
        T tmp = x; x=y; y=tmp;
}
```

Declarations both swap two values polymorphically, but they are compiled very differently

Implementation

OCaml

- swap is compiled into one function
- Typechecker determines how function can be used
- ▶ C++
 - swap is compiled differently for each instance (details beyond scope of this course ...)
- Why the difference?
 - OCaml ref cell is passed by pointer. The local x is a pointer to value on heap, so its size is constant
 - C++ arguments passed by reference (pointer), but local x is on the stack, so its size depends on the type

Polymorphism vs Overloading

Parametric polymorphism

- Single algorithm may be given many types
- Type variable may be replaced by any type
- if f:t-t then f:int-int, f:bool-bool, ...

Overloading

- A single symbol may refer to more than one algorithm
- Each algorithm may have different type
- Choice of algorithm determined by type context
- Types of symbol may be arbitrarily different
- In ML, + has types int*int→int, real*real→real, no others
- Haskel permits more general overloading and requires user assistance

Varieties of Polymorphism

- Parametric polymorphism A single piece of code is typed generically
 - Imperative or first-class polymorphism
 - ML-style or let-polymorphism
- Ad-hoc polymorphism The same expression exhibit different behaviors when viewed in different types
 - Overloading
 - Multi-method dispatch
 - intentional polymorphism
- Subtype polymorphism A single term may have many types using the rule of subsumption allowing to selectively forget information

Summary

- Types are important in modern languages
 - Program organization and documentation
 - Prevent program errors
 - Provide important information to compiler
- Type inference
 - Determine best type for an expression, based on known information about symbols in the expression
- Polymorphism
 - Single algorithm (function) can have many types