# CMSC 330: Organization of Programming Languages 

DFAs, and NFAs, and Regexps

## The story so far, and what's next

- Goal: Develop an algorithm that determines whether a string $s$ is matched by regex $R$
- I.e., whether $s$ is a member of $R$ 's language
- Approach to come: Convert $R$ to a finite automaton FA and see whether $s$ is accepted by FA
- Details: Convert $R$ to a nondeterministic FA (NFA), which we then convert to a deterministic FA (DFA),
> which enjoys a fast acceptance algorithm


## Two Types of Finite Automata

- Deterministic Finite Automata (DFA)
- Exactly one sequence of steps for each string
> Easy to implement acceptance check
- (Almost) all examples so far
- Nondeterministic Finite Automata (NFA)
- May have many sequences of steps for each string
- Accepts if any path ends in final state at end of string
- More compact than DFA
> But more expensive to test whether a string matches


## Comparing DFAs and NFAs

- NFAs can have more than one transition leaving a state on the same symbol

- DFAs allow only one transition per symbol
- DFA is a special case of NFA


## Comparing DFAs and NFAs (cont.)

- NFAs may have transitions with empty string label
- May move to new state without consuming character

$\varepsilon$-transition
- DFA transition must be labeled with symbol
- A DFA is a specific kind of NFA


## DFA for (a|b)*abb



## NFA for (a|b)*abb



- ba
- Has paths to either S0 or S1
- Neither is final, so rejected
- babaabb
- Has paths to different states
- One path leads to S3, so accepts string


## NFA for (ab|aba)*

- aba
- ababa
- Has paths to states S0, S1

- Need to use $\varepsilon$-transition


## NFA and DFA for (ab|aba)*



## Quiz 1: Which string is NOT accepted by this NFA?

A. $a b$<br>B. abaa<br>c. $a b a b$<br>D. abaab



## Quiz 1: Which string is NOT accepted by this NFA?

A. $a b$<br>в. abaa<br>c. $a b a b$<br>D. abaab



## Formal Definition

- A deterministic finite automaton (DFA) is a

5 -tuple ( $\Sigma, Q, q_{0}, F, \delta$ ) where

- $\Sigma$ is an alphabet
- $\mathbf{Q}$ is a nonempty set of states
- $\mathrm{q}_{0} \in \mathrm{Q}$ is the start state
- $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of final states
- $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$ specifies the DFA's transitions
> What's this definition saying that $\delta$ is?
- A DFA accepts $s$ if it stops at a final state on $s$


## Formal Definition: Example

- $\Sigma=\{0,1\}$
- $\mathrm{Q}=\{\mathrm{S} 0, \mathrm{~S} 1\}$
- $\mathrm{q}_{0}=\mathrm{SO}$
- $F=\{S 1\}$
- $\delta=$


|  | symbol |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 |
| \% S0 | S0 | S1 |
| S1 | S0 | S1 |

or as $\left\{\begin{array}{r}(\mathrm{S} 0,0, \mathrm{~S} 0), \\ (\mathrm{S} 0,1, \mathrm{~S} 1), \\ (\mathrm{S} 1,0, \mathrm{~S} 0), \\ (\mathrm{S} 1,1, \mathrm{~S} 1)\}\end{array}\right.$

## Implementing DFAs (one-off)

## It's easy to build a program which mimics a DFA



```
cur_state = 0;
while (1) {
    symbol = getchar();
    switch (cur_state) {
        case 0: switch (symbol) {
            case '0': cur_state = 0; break;
            case '1': cur_state = 1; break;
            case '\n': printf("rejected\n"); return 0;
                default: printf("rejected\n"); return 0;
            }
            break;
        case 1: switch (symbol) {
            case '0': cur state = 0; break;
            case '1': cur_state = 1; break;
            case '\n': printf("accepted\n"); return 1;
            default: printf("rejected\n"); return 0;
            }
            break;
        default: printf("unknown state; I'm confused\n");
        break;
    }
}
```


## Implementing DFAs (generic)

## More generally, use generic table-driven DFA

```
given components ( }\Sigma,Q,\mp@subsup{q}{0}{},F,\delta)\mathrm{ of a DFA:
let q = qo
while (there exists another symbol \sigma of the input string)
    q := \delta(q, \sigma);
if q}\inF\mathrm{ then
    accept
else reject
```

- q is just an integer
- Represent $\delta$ using arrays or hash tables
- Represent F as a set


## Nondeterministic Finite Automata (NFA)

- An NFA is a 5-tuple ( $\left.\Sigma, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{q}_{0}, \mathrm{~F}, \delta\right)$ where
- $\Sigma, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{q} 0, \mathrm{~F}$ as with DFAs
- $\delta \subseteq Q \times(\Sigma \cup\{\varepsilon\}) \times Q$ specifies the NFA's transitions

- $\Sigma=\{a\}$
- $Q=\{S 1, S 2, S 3\}$
- $q_{0}=S 1$
- $F=\{S 3\}$
- $\delta=\{(S 1, a, S 1),(S 1, a, S 2),(S 2, \varepsilon, S 3)\}$

Example

- An NFA accepts s if there is at least one path via s from the NFA's start state to a final state


## NFA Acceptance Algorithm (Sketch)

- When NFA processes a string s
- NFA must keep track of several "current states"
> Due to multiple transitions with same label, and $\varepsilon$-transitions
- If any current state is final when done then accept s
- Example
- After processing "a"
> NFA may be in states
S1
S2


S3
> Since S 3 is final, s is accepted

- Algorithm is slow, space-inefficient; prefer DFAs!


## Relating REs to DFAs and NFAs

- Regular expressions, NFAs, and DFAs accept the same languages! Can convert between them



## Reducing Regular Expressions to NFAs

- Goal: Given regular expression $A$, construct NFA: <A> = $\left(\Sigma, Q, q_{0}, F, \delta\right)$
- Remember regular expressions are defined recursively from primitive RE languages
- Invariant: |F| = 1 in our NFAs
> Recall F = set of final states
- Will define <A> for base cases: $\sigma, \varepsilon, \emptyset$
- Where $\sigma$ is a symbol in $\Sigma$
- And for inductive cases: $A B, A \mid B, A^{*}$


## Reducing Regular Expressions to NFAs

- Base case: $\sigma$

Recall: NFA is $\left(\Sigma, Q, q_{0}, F, \delta\right)$ where
$\Sigma$ is the alphabet
$Q$ is set of states
$\mathrm{q}_{0}$ is starting state
$F$ is set of final states
$\bar{\delta}$ is transition relation
$<\sigma>=(\{\sigma\},\{S 0, S 1\}, S 0,\{S 1\},\{(S 0, \sigma, S 1)\})$

| $\Sigma, \quad$ | $Q$ | $q_{0}, \quad F$, | $\delta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Reduction

- Base case: $\varepsilon$

Recall: NFA is $\left(\Sigma, Q, q_{0}, F, \delta\right)$ where<br>$\Sigma$ is the alphabet<br>$Q$ is set of states<br>$\mathrm{q}_{0}$ is starting state<br>$F$ is set of final states<br>$\bar{\delta}$ is transition relation

- Base case: Ø


$$
<\emptyset>=(\emptyset,\{S 0, S 1\}, S 0,\{S 1\}, \varnothing)
$$

## Reduction: Concatenation

- Induction: $A B$

- $\langle A\rangle=\left(\Sigma_{A}, Q_{A}, q_{A},\left\{f_{A}\right\}, \delta_{A}\right)$
- $\langle B\rangle=\left(\Sigma_{B}, Q_{B}, q_{B},\left\{f_{B}\right\}, \delta_{B}\right)$


## Reduction: Concatenation

- Induction: $A B$

- $\langle A\rangle=\left(\Sigma_{A}, Q_{A}, q_{A},\left\{f_{A}\right\}, \delta_{A}\right)$
- $\langle B\rangle=\left(\Sigma_{B}, Q_{B}, q_{B},\left\{f_{B}\right\}, \delta_{B}\right)$
- $\langle A B\rangle=\left(\Sigma_{A} \cup \Sigma_{B}, Q_{A} \cup Q_{B}, q_{A},\left\{f_{B}\right\}, \delta_{A} \cup \delta_{B} \cup\left\{\left(f_{A}, \varepsilon, q_{B}\right)\right\}\right)$


## Reduction: Union

- Induction: $A \mid B$

- $\langle A\rangle=\left(\Sigma_{A}, Q_{A}, q_{A},\left\{f_{A}\right\}, \delta_{A}\right)$
- $\langle B\rangle=\left(\Sigma_{B}, Q_{B}, q_{B},\left\{f_{B}\right\}, \delta_{B}\right)$


## Reduction: Union

- Induction: $A \mid B$

- $\langle A\rangle=\left(\Sigma_{A}, Q_{A}, q_{A},\left\{f_{A}\right\}, \delta_{A}\right)$
- $\langle B\rangle=\left(\Sigma_{B}, Q_{B}, q_{B},\left\{f_{B}\right\}, \delta_{B}\right)$
- $\langle A \mid B\rangle=\left(\Sigma_{A} \cup \Sigma_{B}, Q_{A} \cup Q_{B} \cup\{S 0, S 1\}, S 0,\{S 1\}\right.$,

$$
\left.\delta_{A} \cup \delta_{B} \cup\left\{\left(S 0, \varepsilon, q_{A}\right),\left(S 0, \varepsilon, q_{B}\right),\left(f_{A}, \varepsilon, S 1\right),\left(f_{B}, \varepsilon, S 1\right)\right\}\right)
$$

## Reduction: Closure

- Induction: $A^{*}$

- $\langle A\rangle=\left(\Sigma_{A}, Q_{A}, q_{A},\left\{f_{A}\right\}, \delta_{A}\right)$


## Reduction: Closure

- Induction: $A^{*}$

- $\langle A\rangle=\left(\Sigma_{A}, Q_{A}, q_{A},\left\{f_{A}\right\}, \delta_{A}\right)$
- $\left\langle A^{*}\right\rangle=\left(\Sigma_{A}, Q_{A} \cup\{S 0, S 1\}, S 0,\{S 1\}\right.$,

$$
\left.\delta_{A} \cup\left\{\left(f_{A}, \varepsilon, S 1\right),\left(S 0, \varepsilon, q_{A}\right),(S 0, \varepsilon, S 1),(S 1, \varepsilon, S 0)\right\}\right)
$$

## Quiz 2: Which NFA matches a*?



## Quiz 2: Which NFA matches a*?



## Quiz 3: Which NFA matches a|b*?



## Quiz 3: Which NFA matches a|b*?



## RE $\rightarrow$ NFA

## Draw NFAs for the regular expression (0|1)*110*

## Recap

- Finite automata
- Alphabet, states...
- $\left(\Sigma, Q, q_{0}, F, \delta\right)$
- Types
- Deterministic (DFA)

- Non-deterministic (NFA)

- Reducing RE to NFA
- Concatenation

- Union
- Closure



## Reduction Complexity

- Given a regular expression $A$ of size n...

Size = \# of symbols + \# of operations

- How many states does <A> have?
- Two added for each \|, two added for each *
- O(n)
- That's pretty good!


## Reducing NFA to DFA



## Why NFA $\rightarrow$ DFA

- DFA is generally more efficient than NFA


Language: (a|b)*ab

## Why NFA $\rightarrow$ DFA

- DFA has the same expressive power as NFAs.
- Let language $L \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$, and suppose $L$ is accepted by NFA $N=(\Sigma$, $\left.Q, q_{0}, F, \delta\right)$. There exists a DFA $D=\left(\Sigma, Q^{\prime}, q^{\prime}, F^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}\right)$ that also accepts $L$. $(\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{N})=\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{D}))$
- NFAs are more flexible and easier to build. But DFAs have no less power than NFAs.

$$
\text { NFA } \leftrightarrow \text { DFA }
$$

## Reducing NFA to DFA

- NFA may be reduced to DFA
- By explicitly tracking the set of NFA states
- Intuition
- Build DFA where
> Each DFA state represents a set of NFA "current states"
- Example



## Algorithm for Reducing NFA to DFA

- Reduction applied using the subset algorithm
- DFA state is a subset of set of all NFA states
- Algorithm
- Input
$>\operatorname{NFA}\left(\Sigma, Q, q_{0}, F_{n}, \delta\right)$
- Output
$>\operatorname{DFA}\left(\Sigma, R, r_{0}, F_{d}, \delta\right)$
- Using two subroutines
> $\varepsilon$-closure $(\delta, \mathrm{p})$ (and $\varepsilon$-closure $(\delta, \mathrm{Q})$ )
> move( $\delta, \mathrm{p}, \sigma)($ and $\operatorname{move}(\delta, \mathrm{Q}, \sigma))$
- (where p is an NFA state)


## $\varepsilon$-transitions and $\varepsilon$-closure

- We say $p \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} q$
- If it is possible to go from state $p$ to state $q$ by taking only $\varepsilon$ transitions in $\delta$
- If $\exists \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}_{1}, \mathrm{p}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{q} \in \mathrm{Q}$ such that
$>\left\{p, \varepsilon, p_{1}\right\} \in \delta,\left\{p_{1}, \varepsilon, p_{2}\right\} \in \delta, \ldots,\left\{p_{n}, \varepsilon, q\right\} \in \delta$
- $\varepsilon$-closure $(\delta, p)$
- Set of states reachable from p using $\varepsilon$-transitions alone
> Set of states $q$ such that $p \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} q$ according to $\delta$
$>\varepsilon$-closure $(\delta, p)=\{q \mid p \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} q$ in $\delta\}$
$>\varepsilon$-closure $(\delta, Q)=\{q \mid p \in Q, p \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} q$ in $\delta\}$
- Notes
> $\varepsilon$-closure $(\delta, p)$ always includes $p$


## $\varepsilon$-closure: Example 1

- Following NFA contains

```
- \(\mathrm{p} 1 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathrm{p} 2\)
- p2 \(\xrightarrow{\varepsilon}\) p3
- p1 \(\xrightarrow{\varepsilon}\) p3
> Since \(\mathrm{p} 1 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathrm{p} 2\) and \(\mathrm{p} 2 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathrm{p} 3\)
```


a

- $\varepsilon$-closures
- $\varepsilon$-closure(p1) =
\{ p1, p2, p3 \}
- $\varepsilon$-closure(p2) =
- $\varepsilon$-closure(p3) =
- $\varepsilon$-closure( \{ p1, p2 \} ) =
\{ p2, p3 \}
\{ p3 \}
$\{\mathrm{p} 1, \mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{p} 3\} \cup\{\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{p} 3\}$


## $\varepsilon$-closure: Example 2

- Following NFA contains
- p1 $\xrightarrow{\varepsilon}$ p3
- $p 3 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon}$ p2
- $\mathrm{p} 1 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathrm{p} 2$

- $\varepsilon$-closures
- $\varepsilon$-closure $(\mathrm{p} 1)=\{$ p1, p2, p3 $\}$
- $\varepsilon$-closure(p2) =
- $\varepsilon$-closure(p3) = \{ p2 \}
- ع-closure( \{ p2,p3 \} ) = \{ p2, p3 \}


## $\varepsilon$-closure Algorithm: Approach

- Input: $\quad$ NFA $\left(\Sigma, Q, q_{0}, F_{n}, \delta\right)$, State Set R
- Output: State Set R'
- Algorithm

```
Let R' = R // start states
Repeat
    Let \(R=R\) ' // continue from previous
    Let \(R^{\prime}=R \cup\{q \mid p \in R,(p, \varepsilon, q) \in \delta\} \quad / /\) new \(\varepsilon\)-reachable states
Until R = R'
// stop when no new states
```

This algorithm computes a fixed point

## ع-closure Algorithm Example

- Calculate $\varepsilon$-closure( $\delta,\{p 1\}$ )

| $R$ | $R^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\{p 1\}$ | $\{p 1\}$ |
| $\{p 1\}$ | $\{p 1, p 2\}$ |
| $\{p 1, p 2\}$ | $\{p 1, p 2, p 3\}$ |
| $\{p 1, p 2, p 3\}$ | $\{p 1, p 2, p 3\}$ |

## Calculating move(p, $\sigma$ )

- move(ס,p, $\sigma$ )
- Set of states reachable from $p$ using exactly one transition on symbol $\sigma$
> Set of states $q$ such that $\{p, \sigma, q\} \in \delta$
$>\operatorname{move}(\delta, p, \sigma)=\{q \mid\{p, \sigma, q\} \in \delta\}$
$>\operatorname{move}(\delta, Q, \sigma)=\{q \mid p \in Q,\{p, \sigma, q\} \in \delta\}$
- i.e., can "lift" move() to a set of states Q
- Notes:
> move $(\delta, p, \sigma)$ is $\varnothing$ if no transition $(p, \sigma, q) \in \delta$, for any $q$


## move(p, $\sigma$ ) : Example 1

- Following NFA
- $\Sigma=\{a, b\}$
- Move
- move(p1, a) $=\{$ p2, p3 \}
- $\operatorname{move}(p 1, b)=\quad \varnothing$
- move(p2, a) =
$\varnothing$

$$
\operatorname{move}(\{p 1, p 2\}, b)=\{p 3\}
$$

- move(p2, b) =
\{ p3 \}
- move(p3, a) =
$\varnothing$
- move(p3, b) =
$\varnothing$


## move $(p, \sigma)$ : Example 2

- Following NFA
- $\Sigma=\{a, b\}$
- Move
- $\operatorname{move}(\mathrm{p} 1, \mathrm{a})=$
- move(p1, b) = \{ p2 \}
- $\operatorname{move}(p 2, a)=\{p 3\}$
- $\operatorname{move}(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{~b})=\quad \varnothing$
- $\operatorname{move}(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{a})=\quad \varnothing$
- $\operatorname{move}(\mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{~b})=\quad \varnothing$


## NFA $\rightarrow$ DFA Reduction Algorithm ("subset")

- Input NFA ( $\left.\Sigma, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{q}_{0}, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}, \delta\right)$, Output DFA $\left(\Sigma, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{r}_{0}, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{d}}, \delta^{\prime}\right)$
- Algorithm

Let $r_{0}=\varepsilon$-closure $\left(\delta, q_{0}\right)$, add it to $R$
While $\exists$ an unmarked state $r \in R$

## Mark r

For each $\sigma \in \Sigma$
Let $E=\operatorname{move}(\delta, r, \sigma)$
Let $\mathrm{e}=\varepsilon$-closure $(\overline{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{E})$
If $e \notin R$
Let $R=R \cup\{e\}$
Let $\delta^{\prime}=\delta^{\prime} \cup\{r, \sigma, e\}$
Let $F_{d}=\left\{r \mid \exists s \in r\right.$ with $\left.s \in F_{n}\right\}$
// DFA start state
// process DFA state $r$
// each state visited once
// for each symbol $\sigma$
// states reached via $\sigma$
// states reached via $\varepsilon$
// if state e is new
// add e to R (unmarked)
// add transition $r \rightarrow e$ on $\sigma$
// final if include state in $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$

## NFA $\rightarrow$ DFA Example

- Start $=\varepsilon$-closure $(\delta, \mathrm{p} 1)=\{\{\mathrm{p} 1, \mathrm{p} 3\}\}$

NFA

- $R=\{\{p 1, p 3\}\}$
- $r \in R=\{p 1, p 3\}$
- move(ס,\{p1,p3\},a) = \{p2\}

$$
\begin{aligned}
& >e=\varepsilon \text {-closure }(\delta,\{p 2\})=\{p 2\} \\
& >R=R \cup\{\{p 2\}=\{\{1, p 3\},\{p 2\}\} \\
& >\delta^{\prime}=\delta^{\prime} \cup\{\{p 1, p 3\}, a,\{p 2\}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- move(ס,\{p1,p3\},b) = Ø

$\varepsilon$
DFA



## NFA $\rightarrow$ DFA Example (cont.)

- $R=\{\{p 1, p 3\},\{p 2\}\}$
- $r \in R=\{p 2\}$
- move( $\overline{\text {, }}$, 2 2\},a) $=\varnothing$
- move( $\delta,\{p 2\}, b)=\{p 3\}$
> $\mathrm{e}=\varepsilon$-closure $(\delta,\{p 3\})=\{p 3\}$
$>R=R \cup\{\{p 3\}\}=\{\{p 1, p 3\},\{p 2\},\{p 3\}\}$
$>\delta^{\prime}=\delta^{\prime} \cup\{\{p 2\}, \mathrm{b},\{\mathrm{p} 3\}\}$


DFA


## NFA $\rightarrow$ DFA Example (cont.)

- $R=\{\{p 1, p 3\},\{p 2\},\{p 3\}\}$
- $r \in R=\{p 3\}$
- Move(\{p3\},a) = Ø
- Move(\{p3\},b) = Ø

NFA


- Mark \{p3\}, exit loop
- $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}=\{\{\mathrm{p} 1, \mathrm{p} 3\},\{\mathrm{p} 3\}\}$
> Since $p 3 \in F_{n}$
- Done!



## NFA $\rightarrow$ DFA Example 2

- NFA • DFA


$$
R=\{\{A\},\{B, D\},\{C, D\}\}
$$

## Quiz 4: Which DFA is equiv to this NFA?


$\square$

D. None of the above
b

## Quiz 4: Which DFA is equiv to this NFA?



Rejects $\varepsilon$

D. None of the above

## Actual Answer



## NFA $\rightarrow$ DFA Example 3

- NFA

- DFA


$$
R=\{\{A, E\},\{B, D, E\},\{C, D\},\{E\}\}
$$

