# CMSC 330: Organization of Programming Languages

**Tail Recursion** 

CMSC330 Spring 2024

### **Factorial**

fact n = 
$$\begin{cases} 1 & n=0 \\ n * fact (n-1) & n>0 \end{cases}$$

fact 4 = 24

# **Factorial**

# let rec fact n = if n = 0 then 1 else n \* fact (n-1);;
val fact : int -> int = <fun>
# fact 1000000 ;
Stack overflow during evaluation (looping recursion?).

#### **Yet Another Factorial**

$$aux x a = \begin{cases} a & x=0 \\ aux (x-1) x*a & x>0 \end{cases}$$

$$fact n = aux n 1$$

```
let fact n =
  let rec aux x a =
    if x = 0 then a
    else aux (x-1) x*a
  in
  aux n 1
  fact 3
```



#### **Yet Another Factorial**

$$aux x a = \begin{cases} a & x=0 \\ aux (x-1) x*a & x>0 \end{cases}$$

$$fact n = aux n 1$$

fact 3 = aux 3 1
 = aux 2 3
 = aux 1 6
 = 6

#### No Stack!

No need to push a new frame on each call

- The result of the evaluation is just the result of the recursive call nothing to remember
- So: Reuse the current frame

# **Tail Recursion**

- Whenever a function's result is completely computed by its recursive call, it is called **tail recursive** 
  - Its "tail" the last thing it does is recursive
- Tail recursive functions can be implemented without requiring a stack frame for each call
  - No intermediate variables need to be saved, so the compiler overwrites them
- Typical pattern is to use an accumulator to build up the result, and return it in the base case

#### Compare fact and aux

```
let rec fact n =
    if n = 0 then 1
    else n * fact (n-1)
```

Waits for recursive call's result to compute final result

```
let fact n =
   let rec aux x acc =
        if x = 1 then acc
        else aux (x-1) (acc*x)
        in
        aux n 1
```

final result is the result of the recursive call

#### **Exercise: Finish Tail-recursive Version**

```
let rec sumlist 1 =
   match 1 with
   [] -> 0
   | (x::xs) -> (sumlist xs) + x
```

Tail-recursive version:

```
let sumlist l =
   let rec helper l a =
    match l with
    [] -> a
    | (x::xs) -> helper xs (x+a)
    in
helper l 0
```

True/false: map is tail-recursive.

let rec map f = function
 [] -> []
 | (h::t) -> (f h)::(map f t)

True/false: map is tail-recursive.

let rec map f = function
 [] -> []
 | (h::t) -> (f h)::(map f t)

True/false: fold is tail-recursive

let rec fold f a = function
[] -> a
| (h::t) -> fold f (f a h) t



True/false: fold is tail-recursive

let rec fold f a = function
[] -> a
| (h::t) -> fold f (f a h) t

True/false: fold\_right is tail-recursive

```
let rec fold_right f l a =
  match l with
  [] -> a
  | (h::t) -> f h (fold_right f t a)
```

True/false: fold\_right is tail-recursive

```
let rec fold_right f l a =
  match l with
  [] -> a
  | (h::t) -> f h (fold_right f t a)
```

# **Tail Recursion is Important**

- Pushing a call frame for each recursive call when operating on a list is dangerous
  - One stack frame for each list element
  - Big list = stack overflow!
- So: favor tail recursion when inputs could be large (i.e., recursion could be deep). E.g.,
  - Prefer List.fold\_left to List.fold\_right
    - Library documentation should indicate tail recursion, or not
  - Convert recursive functions to be tail recursive

True/false: this is a tail-recursive map

```
let map f l =
    let rec helper l a =
        match l with
        [] -> a
        | h::t -> helper t ((f h)::a)
        in helper l []
```

True/false: this is a tail-recursive map

```
let map f l =
    let rec helper l a =
        match l with
        [] -> a
        | h::t -> helper t ((f h)::a)
        in helper l []
```

A. True **B. False** (elements are reversed)

### A Tail Recursive map

```
let map f 1 =
    let rec helper 1 a =
        match 1 with
        [] -> a
        | h::t -> helper t ((f h)::a)
        in rev (helper 1 [])
```

Could instead change (f h) :: a to be a@(f h)Q: Why is the above implementation a better choice? A: O(n) running time, not  $O(n^2)$  (where *n* is length of list)