CMSC330 - Organization of Programming Languages Fall 2023 - Exam 2 Solutions

CMSC330 Course Staff University of Maryland Department of Computer Science

Name:	-			
UID:				
pledge on my honor that I have not given or received any unauthorized assistance on this assignment/examination				
Signature:	_			

Ground Rules

- You may use anything on the accompanying reference sheet anywhere on this exam
- Please write legibly. If we cannot read your answer you will not receive credit
- You may not leave the room or hand in your exam within the last 10 minutes of the exam
- If anything is unclear, ask a proctor. If you are still confused, write down your assumptions in the margin

Question	Points		
P1	8		
P2	15		
P3	12		
P4	10		
P5	20		
P6	20		
Total	85		

Problem 1: Language Concepts

[Total 8 pts]

	True	False
One could theoretically write project 3 in Lambda Calculus	T	F
Lambda Calc is a Turing complete language	_	
Regular Expressions have computational power that is equivalent to Turing Machines.	T	F
Regular expressions can simulate FSM, but not TM		
If a language's grammar is changed, then the parser must be modified	T	F
The parser is tied to a language's grammatical structure		
fun a b -> a b is an example of a higher order function in Ocaml	T	F
'a' is treated like a function here		
If a function f is acceptable input to fold_left, then it is also acceptable for fold_right	T	F
The types of the functions passed into fold_left and fold_right are different		
Operational Semantics describes the meaning of language through operations that	T	F
will be performed.		
The definition of OpSem is to describe meaning through operations		
Lexers typically identify problems with inputs that don't obey a grammar such	T	F
as forgetting a closing parentheses in an expression like $91*(21 + 5)$	_	
lexers look for token errors / bad characters, not errors in grammar	_	
Because the Pure Lambda Calculus only has Functions, Applications, and Variables,	T	F
it is not possible to encode concepts such as True and False with it.		
lots of things including Booleans can be encoded in the Lambda Calculus		

Problem 2: Lambda Calculus

[Total 15 pts]

(a) **Lazy Evaluation, Single Step:** Perform a single step of Beta Reduction using the Lazy / Call by Name Evaluation Strategy on the given Lambda Calculus expression. If the expression cannot be reduced, select "Beta Normal Form".

 $(a \lambda x. x a)((\lambda y. y) b)$ $(y y) (\lambda x. x a)$ $(\lambda x. \lambda y. x y)((\lambda b. b b) a)$ $(A)(\lambda x. x a)(\lambda x. x a)$ $(A)(\lambda x. \lambda y. x y)(a a)$ (A) a λx.x a $(B)(\lambda x. x a)$ $(\overline{B})\lambda x. x ((\lambda y. y) b)$ $(\lambda y. ((\lambda b. b. b) a) y)$ (C)(y y) a $(a \lambda x. x a)(b)$ $(c)\lambda x. (y y) x$ (D) Beta Normal Form D Beta Normal Form (D) Beta Normal Form (E) None of the above (E) None of the above (E) None of the above

(b) **Eager Evaluation, Single Step:** As before, perform a single step of Beta Reduction but this time use the Eager / Call by Value Evaluation Strategy.

 $(\lambda x. \lambda y. x y)((\lambda b. b b) a)$ $(a \lambda x. x a)((\lambda y. y) b)$ $(y y) (\lambda x. x a)$ (A) $a \lambda x.x a$ $(A)(\lambda x. x a)(\lambda x. x a)$ $(\lambda x. \lambda y. x y)(a a)$ $(B)(\lambda x. x a)$ $(B) \lambda x. x ((\lambda y. y) b)$ $(B)(\lambda y.((\lambda b. b. b) a) y)$ (C)(y y) a $(c)\lambda x. (y y) x$ $(a \lambda x. x a)(b)$ (D) Beta Normal Form (D) Beta Normal Form D Beta Normal Form (E) None of the above (E) None of the above (E) None of the above (c) **Reduce to Normal Form:** Convert the following to Beta Normal Form: $(\lambda x.(\lambda y.xa)b)(\lambda x.ax)$

Problem 3: Context Free Grammars

[Total 12 pts]

Consider the following Grammar:

E -> aSSc

S -> aSb|bSc|T

 $T \rightarrow a|b|c$

(a) Which of the following strings are grammatically correct? Select all that apply.

(A) aab

B	abccaabc
(B)	abccaabc

(C) abacbcc

(D) abbac

(E) None

(b) Prove that this grammar is ambiguous using the string abbccc

$$E \rightarrow aSSc \rightarrow abScSc \rightarrow abTcSc \rightarrow abbcSc \rightarrow abbcTc \rightarrow abbccc$$

 $E \rightarrow aSSc \rightarrow aTSc \rightarrow abSc \rightarrow abbScc \rightarrow abbTcc \rightarrow abbccc$

Problem 4: Lexing Parsing and evaluating

[Total 10 pts]

Given the following CFG, and assuming strong, static typing as is used in **OCaml**, at what stage of language processing would the nearby expressions fail? Mark 'Valid' otherwise.

$$E \Rightarrow + E E \mid * E E \mid - E E \mid / E E \mid X$$

 $X \Rightarrow \text{and } X X \mid \text{or } X X \mid P$
 $P \Rightarrow \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid n \in \text{Positive Numbers}$

You may assume this is simple prefix notation for common mathematical and logical semantics

Constraint: The parser in use will reject strings that have "leftover" input that does not fit into a single parse tree.

2 * 3 + 2 3	Lexer L	Parser P	Evaluator E	Valid V
^ 4 5	L	P	E	V
- + 1 23	L	P	E	V
and 2 5	L	P	E	V
5 exp 2 + 6	L	P	E	V
* 2 and true false	L	P	E	V
and true or false false	L	P	E	V
false true	L	P	E	V
true and false or true	L	P	E	V
42	L	P	E	V

Problem 5: OCaml Programming

[Total 20 pts]

The following variant type defines a binary tree.

```
type 'a tree = Leaf of 'a | Node of 'a tree * 'a * 'a tree
```

Write a function called jumping_layers that returns a 'a list * 'a list where the first list has all the 'a items from the even indexed tree layers and the second list has all the items from the odd tree layers. The order of items in the lists does not matter.

Examples:

```
t-> 1
                              t-> 1
                                                           t-> 1
                                                           Leaf(1)
  / \
  2 3
                                                           => ([1],[])
                              /\
Node(Leaf(2),
                                                               even odd
     1,
                              4 5
     Leaf(3))
                             Node(Node(Leaf(4),
=> ([1], [2,3])
                                       2,
   even odd
                                       Leaf(5)),
                                   1,
                                  Leaf(3))
                              => ([1,4,5], [2,3])
                                           odd
                                   even
```

You may define recursive helper function(s) as you find them useful.

Problem 6: Operational Semantics

[Total 20 pts]

Consider the following rules for RNACODE, using OCaml as the Metalanguage:

$$\overline{\text{TTT}} \to \overline{\text{TTT}}$$

$$\overline{\text{GGG}} \to \overline{\text{GGG}}$$

$$\frac{A; \ e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \qquad v_1 = \overline{\text{GGG}}}{A; \ \text{Lysine?} \ e_1 \Rightarrow \overline{\text{GGG}}}$$

$$\frac{A; \ e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \qquad v_1 <> \overline{\text{GGG}}}{A; \ \text{Lysine?} \ e_1 \Rightarrow \overline{\text{TTT}}}$$

$$\frac{A, x : v \ (x) = v}{A, x : v_1, x : v_2; \ x \Rightarrow v}$$

$$\frac{A; \ e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \qquad A, x : v_1; \ e_2 \Rightarrow v_2}{A; \ \text{ENCODE x AS } \ e_1; \ e_2 \Rightarrow v_2}$$

$$\frac{A, x : v_1, y : v_2; \ x \Rightarrow v_1 \qquad A, x : v_1, y : v_2; \ y \Rightarrow v_2 \qquad A, x : v_2, y : v_1; \ e \Rightarrow v}{A, x : v_1, y : v_2; \ \text{SWAP } x \ y \text{ in } \ e \Rightarrow v}$$

Complete the Opsem proof for the following program:

A,y:TTT; ENCODE x AS GGG; SWAP x y in Lysine?
$$x \Rightarrow TTT$$

$$\frac{A, y: TTT, x: GGG(x) = GGG}{A, y: TTT, x: GGG(x) \Rightarrow GGG} \qquad \frac{A, y: TTT, x: GGG(y) = TTT}{A, y: TTT, x: GGG; y \Rightarrow TTT} \qquad \frac{A, y: GGG, x: TTT(x) = TTT}{A, y: GGG, x: TTT; x \Rightarrow TTT} \qquad TTT <> GGG}{A, y: TTT, x: GGG; SWAP x y in Lysine? x \Rightarrow TTT}$$

 $A, y : TTT; GGG \Rightarrow GGG$

 $A, y : TTT; ENCODE x AS GGG; SWAP x y in Lysine? x <math>\Rightarrow$ TTT

5

Cheat Sheet

OCaml

```
(* Anonymous Functions *)
(* Lists *)
                                                          (fun a b c -> a + b + c *)
let lst = []
let lst = [1;2;3;4]
                                                          (* Map and Fold *)
                                                          let rec map f l = match l with
(* :: (cons) has type 'a->'a list -> 'a list *)
                                                             [] -> []
1::2::3::[] = [1;2;3]
                                                            |x::xs -> (f x)::(map f t)
(* @ (append) has type 'a list -> 'a list -> 'a list *)
                                                          let rec fold_left f a l = match l with
[1;2;3] @ [4;5;6] = [1;2;3;4;5;6]
                                                             [] -> a
                                                            |x::xs -> fold_left f (f a x) xs
(* variants *)
type linkedlist = Cons of int * linkedlist | Nil
                                                          let rec fold_right f l a = match l with
Cons(1,Cons(2,Cons(3,Nil)))
                                                            |[] -> a
                                                            |x::xs \rightarrow f x (fold_right f xs a)
```

Lambda Calc Encodings

We will give you the encodings that you will need. They may or may not look like/include the following:

```
\lambda x.\lambda y.x = true

\lambda x.\lambda y.y = false

e_1 e_2 e_3 = if e_1 then e_2 else e_3
```