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1. Non-deterministic Finite Automata
   (a) 8 points Convert the following NFA into a DFA:

   Scratch space for Question 1:
(b) 2 points What is an equivalent Regular Expression for the NFA in Question 1(a)?
2. Consider the following NFA:

(a) 6 points Which of the following strings does the NFA accept? Mark all that apply.
   - “aaaaac”
   - <empty string>
   - “abbc”
   - “ababac”
   - “ababab”
   - “ababc”

(b) 4 points What is an equivalent Regular Expression for the Question 2 NFA?

Scratch space to use as you please:
3. Context Free Grammars

(a) 4 points Write a Regular Expression that describes the same set of strings as the following grammar, if it cannot be converted to a regex, explain why:

\[
\begin{align*}
S & \rightarrow aSU | T \\
T & \rightarrow bTU | \epsilon \\
U & \rightarrow cU | c
\end{align*}
\]

(b) 6 points Consider the following grammar:

\[
\begin{align*}
S & \rightarrow bSc | AS | c | \epsilon \\
A & \rightarrow bA | \epsilon
\end{align*}
\]

i. Provide the derivation of an example string of your own invention (i.e. you cannot use the string from the next part) that shows that the grammar is ambiguous.

ii. Given the string “bbc”, either draw two ASTs or show two derivations that prove the grammar is ambiguous:
4. Parsing

(a) **3 points** Can the following grammar be parsed by a recursive-descent parser? Select the option that is the most accurate.

\[
S \rightarrow bS | C \\
C \rightarrow cC | \epsilon
\]

- Yes
- No, because the grammar is ambiguous
- No, because the grammar is left recursive
- No, because the grammar is ambiguous and left recursive

(b) **3 points** You are using a programming language with the grammar provided below. In your editor, you write the program “1 2 + *” and try to run the program. At which stage of the implementation will there be an error, if any?

\[
S \rightarrow M + S | M - S | M \\
M \rightarrow N * S | N / S | N \\
N \rightarrow n
\]

- Lexer
- Parser
- Interpreter
- There will be no error, that is a valid program.

5. Semantics

Take note of the following Operational Semantics for a simple language with let-bindings, conditionals, addition, and comparison of numbers. Each semantic rule is labelled with a name to its left (e.g. the top-left-most rule is labelled ‘num’).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{num} & : A; n \rightarrow n \\
\text{lookup} & : A; x \rightarrow v \\
\text{let} & : A; e_1 \rightarrow v_1 \quad A; x : v_1; e_2 \rightarrow v_2 \\
& \quad \quad \quad A; \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \rightarrow v_2 \\
\text{add} & : A; e_1 \rightarrow v_1 \quad A; e_2 \rightarrow v_2 \\
& \quad \quad \quad v_3 \text{ is } v_1 + v_2 \\
& \quad \quad \quad A; e_1 + e_2 \rightarrow v_3 \\
\text{gt-true} & : A; e_1 \rightarrow n_1 \quad A; e_2 \rightarrow n_2 \\
& \quad \quad \quad n_1 > n_2 \\
& \quad \quad \quad A; e_1 > e_2 \rightarrow \text{true} \\
\text{gt-false} & : A; e_1 \rightarrow n_1 \quad A; e_2 \rightarrow n_2 \\
& \quad \quad \quad n_1 \leq n_2 \\
& \quad \quad \quad A; e_1 > e_2 \rightarrow \text{false} \\
\text{if-true} & : A; e_1 \rightarrow \text{true} \quad A; e_2 \rightarrow v \\
& \quad \quad \quad A; \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \rightarrow v \\
\text{if-false} & : A; e_1 \rightarrow \text{false} \quad A; e_3 \rightarrow v \\
& \quad \quad \quad A; \text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3 \rightarrow v
\end{align*}
\]
(a) [1 point] What is the term for a conclusion that automatically holds (i.e. there is nothing to show in order for us to accept it as true)?

- Predicate
- Syllogism
- Axiom
- Premise

(b) [1 point] Which rules, if any, from the Operational Semantics above are an instance of your answer to Question 5(a)?

(c) [3 points] The semantics, as given, do not define how to evaluate booleans, even though they are used in the semantics! To understand this, look at the fact that there’s no rule where only true or only false are evaluated. Add your own rule(s) that fix this issue.
(d) [10 points] Construct the full proof for the following proposition (you should not use your new rule):

\[ A; \text{let } a = 21 + 21 \text{ in } (42 + 42) > a \rightarrow \text{true} \]
6. Lambda Calculus

(a) 4 points Consider the following lambda expression:

\[ \lambda j.k \ i \ \lambda h.e \ \lambda k.h \ g \ \lambda f.j \ k \]

Circle the free variables:

\[ \lambda j.k \ i \ \lambda h.e \ \lambda k.h \ g \ \lambda f.j \ k \]

Circle the bound variables:

\[ \lambda j.k \ i \ \lambda h.e \ \lambda k.h \ g \ \lambda f.j \ k \]

(b) 6 points Evaluate the following lambda expression as much as possible:

\[ (\lambda m.\lambda n.m) \ (\lambda f.\lambda x.\ f \ (f \ x)) \ (\lambda f.\lambda x.\ f \ x) \]

Result:

 Scratch space for Question 6(b):
General Scratch Space
Useful Information

NFA to DFA Algorithm:

NFA (input): \((\Sigma, Q, q_0, F_n, \sigma)\), DFA (output): \((\Sigma, R, r_0, F_d, \sigma_n)\)

\[ R \leftarrow \{ \} \]
\[ r_0 \leftarrow \epsilon - \text{closure}(\sigma, q_0) \]

while \(\exists\) an unmarked state \(r \in R\) do

mark \(r\)

for all \(a \in \Sigma\) do

\[ E \leftarrow \text{move}(\sigma, r, a) \]
\[ e \leftarrow \epsilon - \text{closure}(\sigma, E) \]

if \(e \notin R\) then

\[ R \leftarrow R \cup \{ e \} \]

end if

\[ \sigma_n \leftarrow \sigma_n \cup \{ r, a, e \} \]

end for

end while

\[ F_d \leftarrow \{ r \mid \exists s \in r \text{ with } s \in F_n \} \]

Grammar for the Lambda Calculus:

\[
\begin{align*}
e & \quad ::= \quad v \\
& \quad | \quad e \ e \\
& \quad | \quad \lambda \ v \ . \ e
\end{align*}
\]